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Every breath you take...

- The Houston region has one of the highest national ozone levels. Asthma prevalence in inner-city Houston school children approaches twice the national average.

- Fourteen Americans die every day from asthma, a rate three times greater than just 20 years ago. 673,076 adults and 401,289 children suffer from asthma in Texas.

- Ozone can cause coughing, throat irritation, reduced lung function, and pain when taking a deep breath.
Breath of Life?

- Scientists have estimated that the number of deaths in the United States associated with air pollution range from 50,000 to 100,000 per year.

- While particulate matter is the form of air pollution most prominently linked to premature death, there is increasing evidence that ozone plays a role.
Outline

- What are the components of air pollution and how is Texas doing?
- What is photochemical smog and how is it formed?
- A tale of two cities: Austin
- How do we understand air pollution and who sets air quality standards?
- A tale of two cities: Houston
- What are scientists and officials doing so we can breathe easier?
- What can all of us do?
Air Quality in Texas cities

- Houston, Dallas/Fort Worth and El Paso violate the current ozone standard and Austin, San Antonio and Longview would violate the new ozone standard.

- El Paso violates the current particulate matter standard. Houston and possibly DFW would likely violate the new particulate matter standard.
Air pollutants of concern in Texas

PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ - Fine particulate matter suspended in the atmosphere degrades visibility and has been associated with increased rates of mortality

O$_3$ - Ozone at ground level is an irritant, and is associated with increased incidence of respiratory disease and decreased respiratory function

Hazardous air pollutants – A variety of health impacts associated with exposure to HAPs
Air pollutant formation

- **OZONE** = Reactive Organic Compounds * Oxides of Nitrogen * Sunlight * Stagnant Air

- **PARTICULATE** = Sulfur Oxides, Reactive Organic MATTER Compounds, Oxides of Nitrogen, Ammonia, Direct emissions + Sunlight + Stagnant Air
Ozone: Good Up High, Bad Nearby

\[ \text{VOC} + \text{NO}_x + \text{Sun} + 90^\circ = \text{Ozone} \]
Understanding atmospheric chemistry is crucial to understanding air pollution

- Ozone is formed in the atmosphere by the reactions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) – *Relative effectiveness of VOC and NOx emission reductions varies between cities*

- Particulate matter is emitted directly and is formed in the atmosphere by the reactions of VOCs, NOx, SOx, and ammonia *Relative effectiveness of emission reductions varies between cities*
A Tale of Two Cities: Austin

Austin: Moderate ozone and fine particulate matter concentrations; air quality dependent on both local and regional sources

Houston: Sees some of the highest ozone concentrations in the United States but relatively moderate particulate matter concentrations; dominated by a mix of local emissions, industrial and urban
Austin’s status

- All monitors meet the current National Ambient Air Quality Standards – no local regulations in place – only national initiatives (for example, cleaner cars)
- The region would be in non-attainment for the new, more stringent NAAQS, due to be implemented in 2004
- An Early Action Compact has been initiated
What do we need to know to improve air quality?

- Emission inventory development
- Air quality modeling
- Air quality monitoring
How do we improve air quality?

- National Ambient air Quality Standards (NAAQS) set the threshold for action for many air pollutants
- States prepare plans (State Implementation Plans or SIPs) for individual cities
Who sets air quality standards?
Emission inventories

Point sources

Area sources

On-road and non-road mobile sources

Biogenic emissions
National sources of VOC and NOx

Sources of VOC
- Motor Vehicles: 37%
- Industrial Commercial Processes: 58%
- Consumer Solvents: 5%

Sources of NOx
- Fuel Combustion
  - Industrial Commercial Residential: 13%
  - Utilities: 28%
  - All Other Sources: 5%
- Motor Vehicles: 49%
VOC emission inventory (tons/day) for the 5-county region

- Biogenics dominate VOC emissions in the 5-county area, but in the urban areas where ozone formation is greatest, anthropogenic sources dominate.
Anthropogenic VOC emissions (tons/day) for the 5-county region

- Travis County dominates anthropogenic VOC emissions, and these emissions are equally divided among on-road, non-road and area sources.
NOx emission inventory (tons/day) for the 5-county region

- On-road and non-road sources dominate NOx emissions
Anthropogenic NOx emissions (tons/day) for the 5-county region

- Travis County dominates anthropogenic NOx emissions; on-road and non-road sources dominate
Along with inventories we need to develop air quality models.
Photochemical Grid Modeling: Used in the development of air quality regulations

The Area to be Modeled

Specification of the Grid
Air quality modeling in Central Texas

- Include both regional and local effects
- Identify strategies that will reduce peak ozone concentrations
A San Marcos airport station reading

9-3-98 Wind direction, Ozone, NOx concentrations vs. Time of Day
San Marcos Airport site

[Graph showing wind direction, Ozone, NOx concentrations vs. Time of Day]

9-17-99 Wind direction, Ozone, NOx concentrations vs. Time of Day
San Marcos Airport site

[Graph showing wind direction, Ozone, NOx concentrations vs. Time of Day]
What emission sources contribute to ozone formation?

- Evolution of ozone concentrations at a monitor in Austin, over the course of a day, with sources attributed.
Sample back trajectories for high ozone episodes in Austin.....
It’s tempting to blame Houston, but.......
Every city in eastern Texas has an impact on at least one other city.
32-Hour back trajectories for days with peak 8-hour ozone levels over 75 ppb. 1993-1999
So we understand the problem. What do we do?

- Main local source is due to vehicles and for on-road vehicles 10% of the vehicles give 50% of the emissions
- Regional benefits of emission reductions in other Texas cities
- What are the benefits?
A Tale of Two Cities: Houston
• The Houston-Galveston area is a severe ozone non-attainment area

• The current State Implementation Plan (SIP) calls for significant NOx emission reductions (approximately 70% of the projected 2007 inventory; 90+% for point sources)

• SIP also calls for VOC emission reductions (approximately 25% of the projected 2007 inventory)

• Costs and benefits of controls have been estimated to be ~5 billion/yr
Ozone formation in Houston is qualitatively different than in most other urban areas.
Ozone formation in Houston is formed rapidly and efficiently.
Rapid ozone formation can be localized, and narrow plumes/high ozone air parcels can persist for long distances.
What causes these events?
Localized, high hydrocarbon concentrations

![Bar chart showing OH Reactivity (s⁻¹) for different groups. The chart includes bars for Anthropogenic, Biogenic, CO, and Methane, with data points for Bottom 10%, Mean, and Top 10%.]
Where do we find rapid ozone formation/high hydrocarbon concentrations?

Ozone Formation Rate, ppb/h

P(O₃) > 50 ppb/h
20 - 50
10 - 20
<10
What are these hydrocarbons?

- Low molecular weight alkenes (propene, ethene and butenes) are a major source of hydrocarbon reactivity for ozone formation.
Why is Houston so different? Industrial emissions are variable.
Texas Air Quality Study -2000 (TEXAQS - 2000)
Provide scientific basis for air quality management strategies in southeast Texas
TEXAQS - 2000: (www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/texaqs/)
(www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/texaqsarchive)
TEXAQS and other research programs address key areas of uncertainty

- Emission inventories.
- Chemical and physical processes in the atmosphere.
- Regional air quality modeling.
TexAQS and other research programs indicate:

- **Emission inventories:** Data from TexAQS suggest that the VOC emission inventory is low by a factor of 3-10.

- **Emissions are variable:** Daily variations can change emissions from any single facility by a factor of 100 or more.

- **Air quality models, on which regulations are based, are unable to describe the spatial variability that we see:** Existing regulatory models have been unable to replicate critical observations.
How did our improved scientific understanding get incorporated into the regulations?

- Accelerated Science Evaluation
- TCEQ commissioners to decide whether to stay with existing plan or to craft a “mid-course correction”
- For updates, see the web site: (www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/texaqsarchive)
ACCELERATED SCIENCE EVALUATION
of
OZONE FORMATION IN THE HOUSTON-GALVESTON AREA

Working Committee
David Allen, University of Texas
Mark Estes, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission
James Smith, Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission
Harvey Jeffries, University of North Carolina

DRAFT—Work-in-progress.
September 27, 2001
Actions taken by the TCEQ

- Based on the data from TexAQS, which indicate that VOC emissions from industrial facilities are underestimated, new rules have been proposed for emissions of reactive hydrocarbons from flares, cooling towers and fugitive sources.
Benefits of better scientific understanding to the State of Texas

- More effective SIP
- More confidence by policy-makers in the decision making process
- Demonstration of the value of timely scientific analyses
- Demonstration of the value of federal/state scientific partnerships
What’s next to understand and do?

- Our cities are linked; we need to better understand regional ozone formation and transport – across cities and across borders.
What’s next...

- Need to better understand fine particulate matter and regional haze
Second Texas Air Quality Study (STAQS)

A New Strategic Texas Air Quality Study

- Texas must begin now developing science base for control of 8-Hour ozone, fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) and regional haze

- STAQS is a five-year field investigation aimed at developing the underlying science for effective control policies

- Study will utilize experienced TexAQS 2000 study team;

- Leadership provided by Texas universities, TNRCC, Texas Environmental Research Consortium, NARSTO and Southern Oxidants Study

- The UT-Austin Center for Energy and Environmental Resources will provide overall study coordination and administration.
Second Texas Air Quality Study (STAQS)

A New Strategic Texas Air Quality Study

- Develop Science Plan; deploy/test equipment
- Conduct Field Study
- Develop Attainment Plans based on best science
- Develop Operational Plan; deploy/test equipment
- Post Field Study Data Analysis and Peer Review
- Submit Attainment Plans to EPA for Approval
What can we do?

• Keep your automobile well tuned and maintained.

• Carpool, use mass transit, walk, bicycle, and/or reduce driving, especially on hot summer days.

• During the summer, fill your gas tank during the cooler evening hours.

• Participate in your local utility's energy conservation programs.

• Seal containers of household cleaners, workshop chemicals and solvents, and garden chemicals to prevent VOC from evaporating into the air. Dispose of them properly.
Questions?

David Allen
Allen@che.utexas.edu
www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/airquality
www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/texaqs
www.utexas.edu/research/ceer/texasarchive
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